ITP-UU-14/17
SPIN-14/19

Prepared for submission to JHEP

arXiv:1406.2536v2 [hep-th] 12 Jun 2014

Deformed Neumann model from spinning strings on $(\mathrm{AdS}_5 \times \mathrm{S}^5)_\eta$

Gleb Arutyunov[a,1] **and Daniel Medina-Rincon**[a]

[a] *Institute for Theoretical Physics and Spinoza Institute, Utrecht University, Leuvenlaan 4, 3584 CE Utrecht, The Netherlands*

E-mail: g.e.arutyunov@uu.nl, d.r.medinarincon@students.uu.nl

Abstract: We show that bosonic spinning strings on the η-deformed $\mathrm{AdS}_5 \times \mathrm{S}^5$ background are naturally described as periodic solutions of a novel finite-dimensional integrable system which can be viewed as a deformation of the celebrated Neumann model. For this deformed model we find the Lax representation and the analogue of the Uhlenbeck integrals.

[1] Correspondent fellow at Steklov Mathematical Institute, Moscow.

Contents

1 Introduction **1**

2 The Neumann Model **3**
2.1 Lagrangian Formulation 3
2.2 Hamiltonian Dirac Bracket Formulation 4

3 Spinning strings on η-deformed $AdS_5 \times S^5$ **4**

4 Lax Representation from η-deformed $AdS_5 \times S^5$ **6**

5 Deformed Uhlenbeck Integrals from Lax formalism **7**

6 Dirac Formulation for the Deformed Neumann Model **10**

7 Conclusions **12**

A Deformed Integrals in r and ξ Coordinates **14**

1 Introduction

Spinning string solutions have played a prominent role in recent developments concerning the integrable structure of the planar AdS/CFT system [1], see for instance [2]-[7]. They would not only allow one to test the integrability of the string sigma-model, to match the higher conserved charges of gauge and string theory [8], but also to predict a universal integrability structure such as the Quantum String Bethe Ansatz [9, 10]. As is known [4, 5], in the simplest setting bosonic rigid spinning strings in the $AdS_5 \times S^5$ space-time are naturally described as periodic solutions of the finite dimensional integrable system due to C. Neumann [11]. Historically, this system was one of the first known integrable models and, in fact, it was the first significant problem of mechanics to be solved by hyperelliptic functions. In spite of the fact that the string sigma-model on $AdS_5 \times S^5$ is two-dimensional with τ being the world-sheet time and σ a (periodic) spatial coordinate, specifying the rotating string ansatz leads to a complete decoupling of τ from the equations of motion, so that one obtains a finite-dimensional mechanical model with σ playing the role of time. Physically, the Neumann model describes an N-dimensional harmonic oscillator with its motion restricted on an $(N-1)$-dimensional sphere. Although integrability of the model was already known to Neumann and Jacobi, the integrals of motion were discovered almost a hundred years later by K. Uhlenbeck in her work on the description of harmonic maps into spheres [12]. This finding allowed the model to be put in the framework of the Liouville theorem and to solve it, for instance, by the method of separation of variables, *cf.* [13].

As was recently shown, the string sigma-model on $AdS_5 \times S^5$ admits a deformation which preserves its integrability [14][1]. This deformation is governed by a real parameter η and, therefore, we will refer to the corresponding background as $(AdS_5 \times S^5)_\eta$, for which the B-field and the metric were recently found in [30][2]. As was already mentioned, this theory (sigma-model) is classically integrable and the perturbative two-body S-matrix has been computed in [30]. This S-matrix appears to coincide with the large tension limit of the exact S-matrix which respects the q-deformed centrally extended quantum supersymmetry algebra $\mathfrak{psu}_q(2|2) \oplus \mathfrak{psu}_q(2|2)$. Among other recent developments extending and generalizing earlier work on the string sigma-model deformations with q being a root of unity [31] -[34], we mention the construction of the corresponding thermodynamic Bethe equations for the accompanying mirror model, which should encode the spectrum of the sigma-model on $(AdS_5 \times S^5)_\eta$ as well as the new mirror duality phenomenon [35, 36].

In the present paper we put forward an interesting integrable deformation of the Neumann model which emerges naturally from strings spinning in the η-deformed $AdS_5 \times S^5$ space-time. Since the η-deformed metric has six U(1) isometries, just as in the case of the usual $AdS_5 \times S^5$, it is possible to impose the spinning string ansatz, where the string embedding coordinates corresponding to the isometry directions are chosen to be aligned with the world-sheet time τ. As was explained in [14], the sigma-model on the η-deformed background is integrable and we will show here that the corresponding 8×8-matrix Lax representation admits a reduction on the spinning string ansatz, thereby rendering the corresponding model integrable in the Liouville sense. In order not to overload our considerations with unnecessary details, we restrict ourselves to strings spinning in the deformed five-sphere while being at the center of the deformed AdS background. A generalization to spinning motion in the AdS-like part of the background metric is straightforward and will be explained elsewhere. As motion is restricted to the deformed sphere, the Lax representation for the corresponding deformed Neumann model comes naturally in terms of 4×4-matrices.

In principle, having a Lax representation for a finite-dimensional integrable system it is straightforward to exhibit integrals of motion as they are simply given by $\mathrm{Tr}(L^k)$, where L is the Lax matrix. Integrals of motion form, however, a ring, and therefore the integrals emerging as traces of powers of the Lax matrix might not be "elementary" in the sense that they might be built from much simpler conserved blocks. Indeed, already the usual Neumann model admits Lax representations in terms of either 2×2 or 3×3, or even 4×4-matrices, where in the latter case the integrals $\mathrm{Tr}(L^k)$ are not at all elementary, being intricate algebraic combinations of elementary Uhlenbeck integrals. The main effort of the present work is to use the 4×4-matrix Lax representation inherited from the spinning string ansatz to disclose the elementary conserved quantities – the deformations of the Uhlenbeck integrals – arising from strings spinning in the η-deformed background. For the reader who is interested in the main results, we point out the formulae (6.4)-(6.6) which give the

[1] Some earlier and related work in the context of AdS/CFT on sigma-model deformations is [15]-[29].

[2] It remains unknown if the $(AdS_5 \times S^5)_\eta$-metric and the B-field can be lifted to the full solution of IIB supergravity.

conserved quantities for the η-deformed Neumann model. The deformation parameter $\varkappa$ used there is related to η by:

$$\varkappa = \frac{2\eta}{1-\eta^2}\,.$$

It is important to note that our expressions $\mathscr{F}_i$ extend the Uhlenbeck integrals for the usual Neumann model as an expansion in the deformation parameter $\varkappa$ up to (and including) terms of order $\varkappa^4$; while the Hamiltonian, being a special combination of the $\mathscr{F}_i$, is expanded up to the order $\varkappa^2$ only.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we recall the basic points about the Neumann model including its Lagrangian formulation and its Hamiltonian formalism in terms of Dirac brackets. Section 3 is devoted to the spinning strings ansatz in the $(\mathrm{AdS}_5 \times \mathrm{S}^5)_\eta$-background. In section 4 we discuss the 4×4-matrix Lax representation for spinning strings in the η-deformed background, and in section 5 we construct the associated integrals of motion in unconstrained coordinates (r,ξ). In section 6 the cousins of the Uhlenbeck integrals for the deformed model are presented in constrained coordinates x_i and their involutive property is verified, constructing in this way the Dirac bracket Hamiltonian formalism for the deformed Neumann model. Finally, in the conclusions a few interesting problems for further study are outlined.

2 The Neumann Model

2.1 Lagrangian Formulation

As was mentioned earlier, the Neumann model is a well known integrable system, representing a harmonic oscillator constrained to move on a $(N-1)$-dimensional unit sphere [13]. The Lagrangian for this system is given by:

$$L = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(\dot{x}_i^2 - \omega_i^2 x_i^2\right) + \frac{\Lambda}{2}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} x_i^2 - 1\right), \tag{2.1}$$

where Λ plays the role of a Lagrangian multiplier, while x_i and ω_i correspond to the coordinates and angular frequency along the direction i. The Euler-Lagrange equations are given by:

$$\ddot{x}_i = -\omega_i^2 x_i + \Lambda x_i\ . \tag{2.2}$$

For this system the Lagrangian multiplier can easily be obtained from the equations of motion and the constraint $\sum_i x_i^2 = 1$, yielding as a result:

$$\Lambda = \sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(\omega_i^2 x_i^2 - \dot{x}_i^2\right).$$

Thus, the dynamics are given by the following non-linear equations of motion:

$$\ddot{x}_i = -\omega_i^2 x_i + x_i\sum_{j=1}^{N}\left(\omega_j^2 x_j^2 - \dot{x}_j^2\right). \tag{2.3}$$

2.2 Hamiltonian Dirac Bracket Formulation

The Neumann model is usually studied in the Hamiltonian formalism and therefore we will introduce it briefly. In this formalism the canonical momentum and the (unconstrained) Hamiltonian are given by:

$$\pi_i = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{x}_i} = \dot{x}_i,$$
$$H = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(\pi_i^2 + \omega_i^2 x_i^2\right). \tag{2.4}$$

The constraint in the $2N$-dimensional phase space is expressed as:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} x_i^2 = 1, \qquad \sum_{i=1}^{N} x_i \pi_i = 0\ . \tag{2.5}$$

Due to the second constraint, it is necessary to use the Dirac bracket formalism obtained from the canonical structure $\{\pi_i, x_j\} = \delta_{ij}$. This corresponds to:

$$\{\pi_i, \pi_j\}_D = x_i\pi_j - x_j\pi_i, \qquad \{\pi_i, x_j\}_D = \delta_{ij} - x_i x_j, \qquad \{x_i, x_j\}_D = 0. \tag{2.6}$$

The solution to the N-dimensional Neumann model and its (Liouville) integrability is due to the existence of N integrals of motion F_i first found by Uhlenbeck in [12], which satisfy:

$$F_i = x_i^2 + \sum_{j\neq i}\frac{J_{ij}^2}{\omega_i^2 - \omega_j^2}\ , \qquad \sum_{i=1}^{N} F_i = 1, \qquad \{F_i, F_j\}_D = 0, \tag{2.7}$$

where $J_{ij} = x_i\pi_j - x_j\pi_i$. From the equation in the middle of (2.7), we see that only $N-1$ Uhlenbeck integrals are independent. In particular, we will be interested in the case $N = 3$, thus, out of the 3 integrals F_i only 2 will be independent. For a general N, the Hamiltonian of the Neumann model can also be written as a linear combination of the Uhlenbeck integrals:

$$H = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\omega_i^2 F_i. \tag{2.8}$$

By explicit substitution of the Uhlenbeck integrals F_i in the above equation, the Hamiltonian in the Dirac bracket formalism can be written as:

$$H = \frac{1}{4}\sum_{i\neq j} J_{ij}^2 + \frac{1}{2}\sum_i \omega_i^2 x_i^2\ , \tag{2.9}$$

which coincides with the one in equation (2.4) by using the constraints of equations (2.5).

3 Spinning strings on η-deformed $\mathbf{AdS_5 \times S^5}$

The Lagrangian for the sigma-model describing bosonic strings in the η-deformed background was found in [30]. Without loss of generality in this work we will restrict our attention to its part corresponding to the deformed five-sphere[3]:

[3]Concerning the fulfillment of the Virasoro constraints, just as in the undeformed case, on the spinning string ansatz the only non-trivial Virasoro constraint will subsequently relate the conserved energies of two Neumann models corresponding to the deformed sphere and deformed AdS space, respectively.

$$\mathscr{L} = \frac{1}{2}\,\eta^{\alpha\beta}\Bigg(\frac{\partial_\alpha\phi\partial_\beta\phi\,(1-r^2)}{1+\varkappa^2 r^2} + \frac{\partial_\alpha r\partial_\beta r}{(1-r^2)\,(1+\varkappa^2 r^2)} + \frac{\partial_\alpha\xi\partial_\beta\xi\,r^2}{1+\varkappa^2 r^4\sin^2\xi} + \frac{\partial_\alpha\phi_1\partial_\beta\phi_1 r^2\cos^2\xi}{1+\varkappa^2 r^4\sin^2\xi} + \partial_\alpha\phi_2\partial_\beta\phi_2\,r^2\sin^2\xi\Bigg) + \frac{1}{2}\varkappa\,\epsilon^{\alpha\beta}\frac{r^4\sin 2\xi}{1+\varkappa^2 r^4\sin^2\xi}\partial_\alpha\phi_1\partial_\beta\xi + \varkappa\epsilon^{\alpha\beta}\frac{\partial_\alpha r\partial_\beta\phi\; r}{1+\varkappa^2 r^2}. \tag{3.1}$$

Here the world-sheet metric $\eta^{\alpha\beta}$ is chosen to be Minkowski and the term with $\epsilon^{\alpha\beta}$ represents a contribution of the B-field. In comparison to the original formulation [30] we changed the overall scale of $\mathscr{L}$ and included an additional term at the end, this term is a total derivative and therefore does not affect the dynamics of the system. It is worth nothing that this total derivative appears naturally in the derivation of the η-deformed Lagrangian presented in [30] and it is included here to make calculations simpler.

Obviously, the Lagrangian (3.1) exhibits three isometries corresponding to shifts of the angles ϕ, ϕ_1 and ϕ_2. This allows one to consider the following ansatz for a solution describing strings spinning in three different directions with angular velocities ω_1, ω_2 and ω_3:

$$\phi_1 = \omega_1\tau\,, \quad \phi_2 = \omega_2\tau\,, \quad \phi = \omega_3\tau\,, \quad r \equiv r(\sigma)\,, \quad \xi \equiv \xi(\sigma)\,, \tag{3.2}$$

where τ and σ are the world-sheet time and spatial coordinates, respectively. Since we are dealing with closed strings we assume that r and ξ are periodic functions of σ with period 2π. Substituting this ansatz into (3.1), we obtain:

$$\mathscr{L} = \frac{1}{2}\Bigg[\frac{r'^2}{(1-r^2)\,(1+\varkappa^2 r^2)} + \frac{r^2\xi'^2 + \varkappa\omega_1 r^4\xi'\sin 2\xi}{1+\varkappa^2 r^4\sin^2\xi} - \frac{\omega_1^2 r^2\cos^2\xi}{1+\varkappa^2 r^4\sin^2\xi} - \omega_2^2 r^2\sin^2\xi - \frac{\omega_3^2\,(1-r^2)}{1+\varkappa^2 r^2} - \frac{2\varkappa\omega_3 r\; r'}{1+\varkappa^2 r^2}\Bigg]. \tag{3.3}$$

This is a Lagrangian for a mechanical system where σ plays the role of a time variable; prime denotes a derivative with respect to σ. To make further progress, it is convenient to make a change of variables:

$$r = \sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2}\,, \qquad \xi = \arctan\frac{x_2}{x_1}\,,$$

upon which the Lagrangian acquires the form:

$$\mathscr{L} = \frac{1}{2}\Bigg[-\frac{\omega_1^2 x_1^2}{1+\varkappa^2 x_2^2\,(x_1^2+x_2^2)} - \frac{\omega_3^2 x_3^2}{1+\varkappa^2\,(x_1^2+x_2^2)} - \omega_2^2 x_2^2 + \frac{2\varkappa\omega_1 x_1 x_2\,(x_1\dot{x}_2 - x_2\dot{x}_1)}{1+\varkappa^2 x_2^2\,(x_1^2+x_2^2)} + \frac{(x_2\dot{x}_1 - x_1\dot{x}_2)^2}{(x_1^2+x_2^2)\,(1+\varkappa^2 x_2^2\,(x_1^2+x_2^2))} + \frac{2\varkappa\omega_3 x_3\dot{x}_3}{1+\varkappa^2\,(x_1^2+x_2^2)} + \frac{\dot{x}_3^2}{(x_1^2+x_2^2)\,(1+\varkappa^2\,(x_1^2+x_2^2))}\Bigg], \tag{3.4}$$

here we introduced the variable x_3 which is not independent but rather subject to the constraint:

$$x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 = 1.$$

Using this constraint and its derivative, one can check that in the limit of $\varkappa \to 0$ the Lagrangian of (3.4) (plus the constraint) reduces to that of the $N = 3$ Neumann model, namely, the Lagrangian from equation (2.1). In this way the results of [4], which where obtained for the undeformed $\mathrm{AdS}_5 \times \mathrm{S}^5$ background, are recovered.

4 Lax Representation from η-deformed $\mathbf{AdS_5 \times S^5}$

The Lax connection for η-deformed $\mathrm{AdS}_5 \times \mathrm{S}^5$ was introduced in [14], this was done by means of vectors J_α, $\widetilde{J}_\alpha$, and their projections $J_-^\alpha = P_-^{\alpha\beta} J_\beta$ and $\widetilde{J}_+^\alpha = P_+^{\alpha\beta} J_\beta$; where $P_\pm^{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{2}(\eta^{\alpha\beta} \pm \epsilon^{\alpha\beta})$. In our case, since we are only interested in the bosonic part, these vectors are given by:

$$J_\alpha = -\frac{1}{1 - \varkappa R_\mathfrak{g} \circ P_2}(A_\alpha), \qquad \widetilde{J}_\alpha = -\frac{1}{1 + \varkappa R_\mathfrak{g} \circ P_2}(A_\alpha). \tag{4.1}$$

Here we have used $A_\alpha = -\mathfrak{g}^{-1}\partial_\alpha \mathfrak{g}$, being $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}(\tau, \sigma)$ a bosonic coset representative of $\mathrm{SU}(2,2) \times \mathrm{SU}(4)/\mathrm{SO}(4,1) \times \mathrm{SO}(5)$. The expressions for J_α and $\widetilde{J}_\alpha$ used here differ from those used in [14] by a minus sign, this is due to our definition of the currents A_α. The action of the operator $R_\mathfrak{g}$ on $M \in \mathfrak{psu}(2,2|4)$, as described in [14, 30], is given by:

$$R_\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}^{-1} R(\mathfrak{g} M \mathfrak{g}^{-1})\mathfrak{g},$$

being R a linear operator on $\mathfrak{psu}(2,2|4)$ that satisfies the modified classical Yang-Baxter equation, and whose action on an arbitrary 8×8 matrix is given by:

$$R(M)_{ij} = -i\epsilon_{ij} M_{ij}, \qquad \epsilon_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i < j \\ 0 & \text{if } i = j \\ -1 & \text{if } i > j \end{cases}.$$

In order to calculate J_α and $\widetilde{J}_\alpha$ for the case of the spinning strings ansatz of equation (3.2), it is necessary to invert the operator $1 - \varkappa R_\mathfrak{g} \circ P_2$ (for $\widetilde{J}_\alpha$ one has to invert $1 + \varkappa R_\mathfrak{g} \circ P_2$, which is equivalent to doing $\varkappa \to -\varkappa$ on the result for J_α) and to choose a coset representative $\mathfrak{g}$. For this we use the same $\mathfrak{g}$ as used in [30], where it is also shown how to invert the operator $1 - \varkappa R_\mathfrak{g} \circ P_2$, and then we substitute the ansatz of equation (3.2). For the bosonic case the AdS and sphere parts of every 8×8 matrix can be treated separately, thus, from now on when referring to matrices J_α and $\widetilde{J_\alpha}$ we will refer only to their 4×4 part associated with the sphere since this is the part relevant for the reduction to the Neumann model.

The Lax connection for η-deformed $\mathrm{AdS}_5 \times \mathrm{S}^5$ proposed in [14] is constructed by means of vectors L_+^α and M_-^α, for the bosonic case these vectors can be written as:

$$L_+^\alpha = \widetilde{J}_+^{\alpha(0)} + \lambda^{-1}\sqrt{1 + \varkappa^2}\ \widetilde{J}_+^{\alpha(2)}, \tag{4.2}$$

$$M_-^\alpha = J_-^{\alpha(0)} + \lambda\sqrt{1 + \varkappa^2}\ J_-^{\alpha(2)}, \tag{4.3}$$

where λ is the spectral parameter. In terms of the vector:

$$\mathcal{L}_\alpha = L_{+\alpha} + M_{-\alpha},$$

the zero-curvature condition of [14] was given by:

$$\partial_\alpha \mathcal{L}_\beta - \partial_\beta \mathcal{L}_\alpha + [\mathcal{L}_\alpha, \mathcal{L}_\beta] = 0. \tag{4.4}$$

For the spinning string solution of equation (3.2) we have that $\partial_\tau \mathcal{L}_\sigma = 0$, which is due to the fact that there is no τ-dependence in the fields. Therefore, for spinning strings in η-deformed $\mathrm{AdS}_5 \times \mathrm{S}^5$ the zero-curvature condition (4.4) reduces to:

$$\partial_\sigma \mathcal{L}_\tau = [\mathcal{L}_\tau, \mathcal{L}_\sigma]\,. \tag{4.5}$$

Using the $\mathcal{L}_\tau$ and $\mathcal{L}_\sigma$ from the spinning string ansatz, which are constructed as mentioned above, it is easy to check that equation (4.5) follows from the equations of motion of the Lagrangian of equation (3.3). The existence of this Lax pair implies that we can use $\mathcal{L}_\tau$ to generate a tower of integrals of motion, as we will see now.

5 Deformed Uhlenbeck Integrals from Lax formalism

Using the Lax formalism we can generate integrals of motion by using:

$$Q_k(\lambda) = \mathrm{Tr}\left[(\mathcal{L}_\tau)^k\right], \tag{5.1}$$

where for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ each coefficient in the power expansion of $Q_k(\lambda)$ in the spectral parameter λ is a conserved quantity. Due to Newton's identities for the trace and the dimensions of the matrix $\mathcal{L}_\tau$, it is clear that for $k > 4$ the conserved quantities obtained in this way can be written in terms of the conserved quantities resulting from the $Q_k(\lambda)$ with $k \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$.

It is also clear that the Hamiltonian corresponding to the Lagrangian of equation (3.3) (which will be denoted by $\widetilde{H}$) is a conserved quantity since it does not have an explicit dependence on σ. Thus, it will be natural for $\widetilde{H}$ to appear among the conserved quantities obtained from the Q_k's. In fact, by direct evaluation of each one of these conserved quantities, it can be shown that the only non-trivial conserved quantities that are independent of the Hamiltonian are $\widetilde{Q}_1$ and $\widetilde{Q}_2$, obtained from:

$$\frac{1}{2!}\frac{d^2(\lambda^4 Q_4)}{d\lambda^2}\bigg|_{\lambda=0} = -\frac{1}{4}(1-\varkappa^4)\widetilde{H}^2 + \widetilde{Q}_1, \tag{5.2}$$

$$\frac{1}{4!}\frac{d^4(\lambda^4 Q_4)}{d\lambda^4}\bigg|_{\lambda=0} = \frac{3-2\varkappa^2+3\varkappa^4}{8}\widetilde{H}^2 + \widetilde{Q}_2. \tag{5.3}$$

These conserved quantities $\widetilde{Q}_1$ and $\widetilde{Q}_2$ are very large expressions which go up to orders $(\pi_r)^2$ and $(\pi_\xi)^4$; being π_r and π_ξ the canonical momentum conjugated to coordinates r and ξ, respectively. In contrast, for the Neumann model it can be shown that in these (r, ξ)

coordinates the integrals F_i only go up to orders $(\pi_r)^2$ and $(\pi_\xi)^2$ in momenta. Moreover, in the undeformed limit ($\varkappa \to 0$) the conserved quantities $\widetilde{Q}_1$ and $\widetilde{Q}_2$ reduce to linear combinations of F_1 and F_2, plus a constant. This behaviour suggests the existence of deformed conserved quantities $\mathscr{F}_i$ satisfying $\lim_{\varkappa\to 0} \mathscr{F}_i = F_i$, which will be up to orders $(\pi_r)^2$ and $(\pi_\xi)^4$ in momenta. These deformed integrals $\mathscr{F}_i$ will play the role of the Uhlenbeck integrals for the deformed system.

Based on the behaviour of $\widetilde{Q}_1$ and $\widetilde{Q}_2$ in the undeformed limit and the fact that in the undeformed case we only have 2 independent quantities F_i; we would expect the conserved quantities $\widetilde{H}$, $\widetilde{Q}_1$ and $\widetilde{Q}_2$ to be a linear combination of the $\mathscr{F}_i$ in the deformed case. This would correspond to having:

$$\widetilde{H} = A_1\mathscr{F}_1 + A_2\mathscr{F}_2 + A_3, \tag{5.4}$$

$$\widetilde{Q}_1 = B_1\mathscr{F}_1 + B_2\mathscr{F}_2 + B_3, \tag{5.5}$$

$$\widetilde{Q}_2 = C_1\mathscr{F}_1 + C_2\mathscr{F}_2 + C_3, \tag{5.6}$$

with A_1, A_2, A_3, B_1, B_2, B_3, C_1, C_2 and C_3 unknown coefficients, which can in principle depend on the deformation parameter $\varkappa$. This system of 3 equations can be treated as 2 systems of 2 equations each: The first one corresponds to equations (5.4) and (5.5), while the second one corresponds to equations (5.4) and (5.6). Since we know the left hand side of these 3 equations we can solve the 2 systems separately: From the first system we can solve for $\mathscr{F}_1(A_1, A_2, A_3, B_1, B_2, B_3)$ and $\mathscr{F}_2(A_1, A_2, A_3, B_1, B_2, B_3)$, while for the second system we can solve for $\mathscr{F}_1(A_1, A_2, A_3, C_1, C_2, C_3)$ and $\mathscr{F}_2(A_1, A_2, A_3, C_1, C_2, C_3)$. The $\mathscr{F}_1$ and $\mathscr{F}_2$ we are looking for should be simultaneously the solution to these 2 systems, thus the 2 solutions for $\mathscr{F}_1$ and the 2 solutions for $\mathscr{F}_2$ should be equal. This means that we can match the solutions power by power in r' and ξ' (or equivalently in π_r and π_ξ). By doing this we can solve for the coefficients C_i obtaining:

$$C_1 = \frac{2B_1\left(\varkappa^2-1\right)}{\varkappa^2+1}, \qquad C_2 = \frac{2B_2\left(\varkappa^2-1\right)}{\varkappa^2+1},$$

$$C_3 = \frac{8B_3\left(\varkappa^2-1\right) + \left(\varkappa^2+1\right)\left(\omega_1^4 + 6\omega_1^2\left(\omega_2^2+\omega_3^2\right) + \omega_2^4 + 6\omega_2^2\omega_3^2 + \omega_3^4\right)}{4\left(\varkappa^2+1\right)}.$$

It can be checked that the two $\mathscr{F}_i(A_1, A_2, A_3, B_1, B_2, B_3)$ obtained in this way satisfy $\{\mathscr{F}_1, \mathscr{F}_2\} = 0$, and by construction also satisfy $\{\widetilde{H}, \mathscr{F}_i\} = 0$ with $i = 1, 2$ (using canonical Poisson brackets).
For the undeformed case it can be checked that once the 3 expressions for the F_i are substituted in the Hamiltonian (recall equation (2.8)), we have that:

$$\frac{\partial^2 H}{\partial {\omega_i}^2} = F_i\Big|_{\pi_r,\pi_\xi=0} \qquad \forall i \in \{1,2,3\}. \tag{5.7}$$

Using the deformed Hamiltonian $\widetilde{H}$ (which was obtained from (3.3)) it is easy to check that $\partial^2\widetilde{H}/\partial{\omega_i}^2 = \partial^2 H/\partial{\omega_i}^2$. Since we want our deformed $\mathscr{F}_i$ to coincide with the Uhlenbeck

integrals when $\varkappa \to 0$, we will impose for the deformed case that:

$$\frac{\partial^2 \widetilde{H}}{\partial {\omega_i}^2} = \mathscr{F}_i\Big|_{\pi_r,\pi_\xi=0} \qquad \forall i \in \{1,2\}\ , \tag{5.8}$$

which is equivalent to imposing the condition $\mathscr{F}_i|_{\pi_r,\pi_\xi=0} = F_i|_{\pi_r,\pi_\xi=0}$. We can then match both sides of equation (5.8) by comparing them term by term in powers of r. Doing this first for $i = 1$ one finds the coefficients B_i in terms of the A_i coefficients:

$$\begin{aligned}
B_1 &= -\frac{1}{4}\left(1+\varkappa^2\right)\left[\left(\omega_1^2-\omega_2^2\right)\left(\omega_1^2-\omega_3^2\right) - A_1\left(3\omega_1^2+\omega_2^2+\omega_3^2\right)\right],\\
B_2 &= \frac{1}{4}A_2\left(1+\varkappa^2\right)\left(3\omega_1^2+\omega_2^2+\omega_3^2\right),\\
B_3 &= \frac{1}{4}\left(1+\varkappa^2\right)\left[A_3\left(3\omega_1^2+\omega_2^2+\omega_3^2\right)+\omega_2^2\omega_3^2\right].
\end{aligned}$$

Repeating this procedure for $i = 2$ allows us to find the coefficients A_i, and consequently fix the coefficients B_i and C_i found previously. The final expressions for all of the coefficients are given by:

$$\begin{aligned}
A_1 &= \frac{1}{2}(\omega_1^2-\omega_3^2), \qquad A_2 = \frac{1}{2}(\omega_2^2-\omega_3^2), \qquad A_3 = \frac{1}{2}\omega_3^2,\\
B_1 &= \frac{1}{8}(\omega_1^2+3\omega_2^2+\omega_3^2)(1+\varkappa^2)(\omega_1^2-\omega_3^2),\\
B_2 &= \frac{1}{8}(3\omega_1^2+\omega_2^2+\omega_3^2)(1+\varkappa^2)(\omega_2^2-\omega_3^2),\\
B_3 &= \frac{1}{8}\omega_3^2(3\omega_1^2+3\omega_2^2+\omega_3^2)(1+\varkappa^2),\\
C_1 &= -\frac{1}{4}(\omega_1^2+3\omega_2^2+\omega_3^2)(1-\varkappa^2)(\omega_1^2-\omega_3^2),\\
C_2 &= -\frac{1}{4}(3\omega_1^2+\omega_2^2+\omega_3^2)(1-\varkappa^2)(\omega_2^2-\omega_3^2),\\
C_3 &= \frac{1}{4}(\omega_1^4+\omega_2^4+\omega_3^4\varkappa^2+3\omega_2^2\omega_3^2(1+\varkappa^2)+3\omega_1^2(2\omega_2^2+\omega_3^2(1+\varkappa^2))).
\end{aligned}$$

From these coefficients we get the expressions for $\mathscr{F}_1$ and $\mathscr{F}_2$. Equation (5.4) and the results for the coefficients A_i suggest that we can introduce $\mathscr{F}_3$ by doing:

$$1 = \mathscr{F}_1 + \mathscr{F}_2 + \mathscr{F}_3. \tag{5.9}$$

Then, the Hamiltonian for the deformed model is given by:

$$\widetilde{H} = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{3}\omega_i^2\mathscr{F}_i. \tag{5.10}$$

Equations (5.9) and (5.10) are the deformed versions of equations (2.7) and (2.8) of the undeformed Neumann model. The full expressions for the $\mathscr{F}_i$ found by this method are given in the Appendix A.

It can be checked that, using the canonical Poisson bracket $\{\pi_r, r\} = 1$ and $\{\pi_\xi, \xi\} = 1$, the three conserved quantities $\mathscr{F}_i$ satisfy:

$$\{\widetilde{H}, \mathscr{F}_i\} = 0 \quad \forall i \in \{1,2,3\}, \qquad \{\mathscr{F}_i, \mathscr{F}_j\} = 0 \quad \forall i,j \in \{1,2,3\}. \tag{5.11}$$

6 Dirac Formulation for the Deformed Neumann Model

So far we have used coordinates (r, ξ) and their momenta (π_r, π_ξ), this allowed us to work in a 4-dimensional phase space without constraints, where we used canonical Poisson brackets. As was mentioned earlier, for $N = 3$ the Neumann model is usually presented in terms of Dirac brackets $\{,\}_D$ embedded in a 6-dimensional phase space corresponding to coordinates x_i and their momenta π_i with $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, but subject to the 2 constraints of equation (2.5).

In order to perform the transition to this second formalism, we first move to coordinates x_1 and x_2 by using:

$$x_1 = r\cos\xi, \qquad\qquad x_2 = r\sin\xi. \tag{6.1}$$

Conjugated to these two coordinates we will have momenta p_1 and p_2, respectively. By doing this change of coordinates we have done the transition from phase space coordinates (r, ξ, π_r, π_ξ) to (x_1, x_2, p_1, p_2). Now, we will proceed to increase the dimensionality of the phase space by introducing a new coordinate and momentum.

The third coordinate is introduced by making use of:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{3} x_i^2 = 1. \tag{6.2}$$

We now have to introduce a third momentum associated with coordinate x_3, this is done by means of a transformation from (p_1, p_2) to new momenta (π_1, π_2, π_3). The transformation we will use is given by:

$$p_1 \to -\frac{\pi_3 x_1 - \pi_1 x_3}{x_3}, \qquad p_2 \to -\frac{\pi_3 x_2 - \pi_2 x_3}{x_3}. \tag{6.3}$$

Using this transformation we can write the deformed integrals in a more compact form:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathscr{F}_1 =& F_1 + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{4} n_i \varkappa^i}{(\omega_1^2-\omega_2^2)(\omega_1^2-\omega_3^2)} - \frac{2\varkappa J_{13} x_1 x_3 \omega_3}{\omega_1^2-\omega_3^2} - \frac{2\varkappa J_{12} x_1 x_2 \omega_1}{\omega_1^2-\omega_2^2} \\ &+ \frac{\varkappa^2 J_{12}^2 x_2^2}{\omega_1^2-\omega_2^2} + \frac{\varkappa^2 J_{13}^2 (x_1^2+x_2^2)}{\omega_1^2-\omega_3^2} - \frac{\varkappa^2 J_{12}^2 x_3^2 \omega_1^2}{(\omega_1^2-\omega_2^2)(\omega_1^2-\omega_3^2)},\end{aligned} \tag{6.4}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathscr{F}_2 =& F_2 + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{4} n_i \varkappa^i}{(\omega_2^2-\omega_1^2)(\omega_2^2-\omega_3^2)} - \frac{2\varkappa J_{23} x_2 x_3 \omega_3}{\omega_2^2-\omega_3^2} - \frac{2\varkappa J_{12} x_1 x_2 \omega_1}{\omega_2^2-\omega_1^2} \\ &+ \frac{\varkappa^2 J_{12}^2 x_2^2}{\omega_2^2-\omega_1^2} + \frac{\varkappa^2 J_{23}^2 (x_1^2+x_2^2)}{\omega_2^2-\omega_3^2} - \frac{\varkappa^2 J_{12}^2 x_3^2 \omega_2^2}{(\omega_2^2-\omega_1^2)(\omega_2^2-\omega_3^2)},\end{aligned} \tag{6.5}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathscr{F}_3 =& F_3 + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{4} n_i \varkappa^i}{(\omega_3^2-\omega_1^2)(\omega_3^2-\omega_2^2)} - \frac{2\varkappa J_{23} x_2 x_3 \omega_3}{\omega_3^2-\omega_2^2} - \frac{2\varkappa J_{13} x_1 x_3 \omega_3}{\omega_3^2-\omega_1^2} \\ &+ \frac{\varkappa^2 J_{13}^2 (x_1^2+x_2^2)}{\omega_3^2-\omega_1^2} + \frac{\varkappa^2 J_{23}^2 (x_1^2+x_2^2)}{\omega_3^2-\omega_2^2} - \frac{\varkappa^2 J_{12}^2 x_3^2 \omega_3^2}{(\omega_3^2-\omega_1^2)(\omega_3^2-\omega_2^2)},\end{aligned} \tag{6.6}$$

where the F_i are the Uhlenbeck integrals of the undeformed Neumann model (recall equation (2.7)), while the n_i are given by:

$$\begin{aligned}
n_1 =& -2J_{12}J_{13}J_{23}\omega_1 \ , \\
n_2 =& -J_{12}^2 x_3^2\omega_3^2 + 2J_{12}x_3\omega_1\omega_3\left(J_{23}x_1 + J_{13}x_2\right) - J_{12}^2J_{13}^2 \ , \\
n_3 =& 2J_{12}J_{13}\left[J_{12}x_1x_3\omega_3 - J_{23}\left(x_1^2+x_2^2\right)\omega_1\right] \ , \\
n_4 =& -J_{12}^2J_{13}^2\left(x_1^2+x_2^2\right) \ .
\end{aligned}$$

From the set of equations for the $\mathscr{F}_i$ it is easily seen that in the limit $\varkappa \to 0$ the expressions for the $\mathscr{F}_i$ reduce to the F_i of the undeformed Neumann model.

The deformed Hamiltonian $\widetilde{H}$ written in terms of phase space coordinates (x_i, π_i) is obtained by substituting equations (6.4), (6.5) and (6.6) in equation (5.10), doing this one gets the following expression:

$$\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{H} =& \frac{1}{4}\sum_{i\neq j} J_{ij}^2 + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{3}\omega_i^2x_i^2 - \varkappa\left(\omega_1x_1x_2J_{12} + \omega_3x_1x_3J_{13} + \omega_3x_2x_3J_{23}\right) \\
&+ \frac{\varkappa^2}{2}\left[\left(x_2^2-x_3^2\right)J_{12}^2 + \left(x_1^2+x_2^2\right)J_{13}^2 + \left(x_1^2+x_2^2\right)J_{23}^2\right] \ .
\end{aligned} \tag{6.7}$$

The expression above clearly coincides with the one in equation (2.9) when taking the limit $\varkappa \to 0$.

As we saw before, the Neumann model consists of 2 constraints, being (6.2) the first of them. By construction the deformed model satisfies (6.2), but we also want the deformed system to satisfy the second constraint, thus we will impose that the new momenta π_i satisfy:

$$\sum_i x_i\pi_i = 0 \ . \tag{6.8}$$

One can check that the Dirac bracket constructed from phase space coordinates (x_i, π_i) and constraints (6.2) and (6.8) is such that:

$$\{\widetilde{H}, \mathscr{F}_i\}_D = 0 \ , \qquad \{\mathscr{F}_i, \mathscr{F}_j\}_D = 0 \qquad \forall i,j \in \{1,2,3\}. \tag{6.9}$$

The attentive reader may have noticed that we have introduced new momenta π_i, but so far we have not established their dependence in terms of the x_i and $\dot{x}_i$. For this we will use the constraint (6.8) and the equations:

$$\dot{x}_1 = \{\widetilde{H}, x_1\}_D \ , \tag{6.10}$$

$$\dot{x}_2 = \{\widetilde{H}, x_2\}_D \ . \tag{6.11}$$

By evaluating the right hand side of (6.10) and (6.11), we are left with a system of 3 equations relating π_i, x_i and $\dot{x}_i$. Solving for the momenta π_i one finds:

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi_1 =& \frac{1}{u}\left[\dot{x}_1 - \varkappa x_1\left(x_2^2\omega_1 + x_3^2\omega_3\right) + \varkappa^2 x_2\left(x_2\dot{x}_1\left(1+x_1^2\right) - x_1\dot{x}_2\left(1-x_2^2\right)\right)\right. \\
& \left. -\varkappa^3 x_1 x_2^2\left(x_1^2+x_2^2\right)\left(\omega_1 + x_3^2\omega_3\right)\right], \\
\pi_2 =& \frac{1}{u}\left[\dot{x}_2 - \varkappa x_2\left(x_3^2\omega_3 - x_1^2\omega_1\right) + \varkappa^2\left(\left(x_1^2+x_2^4\right)\dot{x}_2 - x_1 x_2\left(1-x_2^2\right)\dot{x}_1\right)\right. \\
& \left. +\varkappa^3 x_2\left(x_1^2+x_2^2\right)\left(x_1^2\omega_1 - x_3^2 x_2^2\omega_3\right)\right], \\
\pi_3 =& \frac{\dot{x}_3 + \varkappa\omega_3 x_3\left(x_1^2+x_2^2\right)}{1+\varkappa^2\left(x_1^2+x_2^2\right)} \ ,
\end{aligned}$$

where:

$$u = \left(1+\varkappa^2\left(x_1^2+x_2^2\right)\right)\left(1+\varkappa^2 x_2^2\left(x_1^2+x_2^2\right)\right).$$

Once again, in the undeformed limit we recover the results for the Neumann model, namely, $\pi_i = \dot{x}_i$.

Having constructed the momenta π_i in this way, one can check that indeed the Dirac bracket will define the time evolution of the system. In other words, that:

$$\dot{x}_i = \{\widetilde{H}, x_i\}_D \ , \qquad \dot{\pi}_i = \{\widetilde{H}, \pi_i\}_D \ . \tag{6.12}$$

The equation from the left for the cases of $i = 1, 2$ is satisfied by construction, and for the case of $i = 3$ it is verified by making use of $x_3 = \sqrt{1 - x_1^2 - x_2^2}$. Meanwhile, the equation on the right can be verified by using the expressions for the π_i, the constraint (6.2) and the Euler-Lagrange equations of motion.

Let us briefly summarize the main results of the present section. Starting from the unconstrained formulation in terms of (r, ξ) coordinates we found a formulation for an integrable deformation of the Neumann model in terms of coordinates x_i and their momenta π_i. In this formulation the system is given by the Hamiltonian (6.7) subject to the constraints (6.2) and (6.8), and its evolution is determined in terms of Dirac brackets. The integrals of motion that guarantee the explicit Liouville integrability of the model are given by (6.4), (6.5) and (6.6).

7 Conclusions

In this work we have found a new integrable model by studying bosonic spinning strings on η-deformed $\mathrm{AdS}_5 \times \mathrm{S}^5$. This model corresponds to a highly non-trivial deformation of the celebrated Neumann model. The Liouville integrability of the model was made explicit by finding the deformed integrals of motion, which are the equivalent of the Uhlenbeck integrals of the undeformed model. Naturally, this being a novel integrable model, there are many open questions that have yet to be addressed.

As is well known, practically all known integrable systems admit a Lax representation from which a tower of conserved quantities can be generated, thus guaranteeing the integrability of the model. Throughout the derivation of the results found in this paper for the deformed Neumann model, the 4×4 Lax formalism inherited from the spinning strings ansatz in η-deformed $\mathrm{AdS}_5 \times \mathrm{S}^5$ played a crucial role. However, its structure is not very transparent and it is characterized by large expressions in each of its components. For the undeformed Neumann model relatively simple Lax pair constructions in terms of 2×2 and 3×3 matrices have been found [37, 38]. These formulations were obtained by considering the Neumann model as the result of a reduction by symmetry of another integrable system with a larger unconstrained phase space [13, 38, 39]. This other system corresponds to the Hamiltonian (2.9) with unconstrained phase space coordinates (x_i, π_i), and with time evolution determined by canonical Poisson brackets. For the deformed Neumann model proposed in this paper perhaps similar Lax constructions can be formulated. In that case the most likely starting point would be to consider the Hamiltonian (6.7), but using canonical Poisson brackets instead of Dirac brackets, thus resulting in a higher dimensional phase space since the constraints (6.2) and (6.8) are dropped. It is not yet clear how these 2×2 and 3×3 Lax structures can be realized for the deformed Neumann model proposed here, but this is clearly a very interesting question for which further work is required.

The work of J. Moser showed that the Neumann model also describes the geodesic motion on a ellipsoid [13]. The latter was shown to be integrable by Jacobi, who solved the problem by introducing ellipsoidal coordinates and employing the method of separation of variables. This appropriate choice of coordinates also allows for the separation of variables of the Neumann model, as was shown for the $N = 3$ case by C. Neumann himself [13]. For the deformed Neumann model presented here, this particular set of coordinates does not allow for the separation of variables. Finding an adequate set of coordinates is therefore one more important and interesting challenge.

Another open question would be to generalize the results of this paper to $N > 3$, since the results found here correspond to a deformation of the $N = 3$ Neumann model. This task is highly non-trivial due to the manifest asymmetry in the coordinates x_i. For the case of generic N, one should start from the sigma-model on the coset space $\mathrm{SO}(N+1)/\mathrm{SO}(N)$ equivalent to S^N, just as was the case for the five-sphere and the coset $\mathrm{SO}(6)/\mathrm{SO}(5)$. Then, one would need to deform this model using the same construction as in the case of the five-sphere, and finally perform a reduction on the spinning string ansatz. A procedure like the one proposed here should in principle provide a deformation for the Neumann model with generic N.

An interesting problem would be to introduce a generalized spinning string ansatz in the same spirit as the one proposed in [5]. For undeformed $\mathrm{AdS}_5 \times \mathrm{S}^5$ it was shown in [5] that the introduction of this ansatz allows for the description of rotating strings in terms of the $N = 3$ Neumann-Rosochatius integrable system. The integrability of the $N = 3$ Neumann-Rosochatius model is a consequence of the fact that it is equivalent to a special case of the $N = 6$ Neumann model. By introducing a generalized rotating ansatz in η-deformed $\mathrm{AdS}_5 \times \mathrm{S}^5$, one would expect to find a deformation of the Neumann-Rosochatius system. However, proving its Liouville integrability would be highly non-trivial due to the

difficulty of finding the corresponding integrals of motion. One can only conjecture that, in analogy with the undeformed model, these integrals of motion are somehow related to the ones of an η-deformed $N = 6$ Neumann model.

One could also consider an even more generic string solution like the one presented in [40], where spiky strings and magnon solutions are obtained by means of a generalized Neumann-Rosochatius ansatz. For the $AdS_5 \times S^5$ background, the Lagrangian of such a system is shown to be described by a Neumann system plus a magnetic field interaction [40]. Thus, for the study of giant magnons in the case of the η-deformed background [35, 41, 42], systems like the one proposed in this article might prove useful.

A Deformed Integrals in r and ξ Coordinates

In these coordinates the undeformed Uhlenbeck integrals can be written in the following way:

$$F_1 = r^2\cos^2\xi + \frac{\pi_\xi^2}{\omega_1^2-\omega_2^2} + \frac{\left(1-r^2\right)\left(r\pi_r\cos\xi - \pi_\xi\sin\xi\right)^2}{r^2\left(\omega_1^2-\omega_3^2\right)}, \tag{A.1}$$

$$F_2 = r^2\sin^2\xi + \frac{\pi_\xi^2}{\omega_2^2-\omega_1^2} + \frac{\left(1-r^2\right)\left(\pi_\xi\cos\xi + r\pi_r\sin\xi\right)^2}{r^2\left(\omega_2^2-\omega_3^2\right)}, \tag{A.2}$$

$$F_3 = 1-r^2 + \frac{\left(1-r^2\right)\left(r\pi_r\cos\xi - \pi_\xi\sin\xi\right)^2}{r^2\left(\omega_3^2-\omega_1^2\right)} + \frac{\left(1-r^2\right)\left(\pi_\xi\cos\xi + r\pi_r\sin\xi\right)^2}{r^2\left(\omega_3^2-\omega_2^2\right)}. \tag{A.3}$$

In order to write the deformed conserved quantities in a compact form, we will first define the following functions:

$$\begin{aligned}
s_1 =& \pi_\xi^2\left(1-\frac{1}{r^2}\right)\omega_1\left(2r\pi_r\cos 2\xi - \pi_\xi\sin 2\xi\right) - \pi_\xi\pi_r^2\left(1-r^2\right)\omega_1\sin 2\xi,\\
s_2 =& -\pi_\xi\pi_r r\left(1-r^2\right)\left(\omega_1^2+2\omega_3\omega_1-\omega_2^2\right)\sin 2\xi - \pi_\xi^2\pi_r^2\left(1-r^2\right)\cos^2\xi\\
&-\pi_\xi^3\left(1-\frac{1}{r^2}\right)\left(2r\pi_r\cos\xi - \pi_\xi\sin\xi\right)\sin\xi\\
&-\pi_\xi^2\left[\omega_3^2\left(1-r^2\cos^2\xi\right)+\left(1-r^2\right)\left(\omega_1^2\cos^2\xi+\omega_2^2\sin^2\xi+2\omega_1\omega_3\cos 2\xi\right)\right],\\
s_3 =& -2\pi_\xi\left(1-r^2\right)\left(r\pi_r\cos\xi - \pi_\xi\sin\xi\right)\left[\pi_\xi\left(\omega_1+\omega_3\right)\cos\xi + r\pi_r\omega_1\sin\xi\right],\\
s_4 =& -\pi_\xi^2\left(1-r^2\right)\left(r\pi_r\cos\xi - \pi_\xi\sin\xi\right)^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Using these functions, the conserved quantities for the deformed model can be written in these coordinates as:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathscr{F}_1 =& F_1 + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{4} s_i\varkappa^i}{\left(\omega_1^2-\omega_2^2\right)\left(\omega_1^2-\omega_3^2\right)} + \frac{\varkappa^2\pi_\xi^2 r^2\omega_1^2\sin^2\xi}{\left(\omega_1^2-\omega_2^2\right)\left(\omega_1^2-\omega_3^2\right)} + \frac{\varkappa^2\pi_r^2 r^2\left(1-r^2\right)\cos^2\xi}{\omega_1^2-\omega_3^2}\\
&+\frac{2\varkappa\pi_r r\left(1-r^2\right)\omega_3\cos^2\xi}{\omega_1^2-\omega_3^2} - \varkappa\pi_\xi\left(\frac{r^2\omega_1}{\omega_1^2-\omega_2^2}+\frac{\left(1-r^2\right)\omega_3}{\omega_1^2-\omega_3^2}\right)\sin 2\xi,
\end{aligned} \tag{A.4}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathscr{F}_2 =& F_2 + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{4} s_i \varkappa^i}{\left(\omega_2^2-\omega_1^2\right)\left(\omega_2^2-\omega_3^2\right)} + \frac{\varkappa^2\pi_\xi^2 r^2\omega_2^2\sin^2\xi}{\left(\omega_2^2-\omega_1^2\right)\left(\omega_2^2-\omega_3^2\right)} + \frac{\varkappa^2\pi_r^2 r^2\left(1-r^2\right)\sin^2\xi}{\omega_2^2-\omega_3^2} \\ &+ \frac{2\varkappa\pi_r r\left(1-r^2\right)\omega_3\sin^2\xi}{\omega_2^2-\omega_3^2} - \varkappa\pi_\xi\left(\frac{r^2\omega_1}{\omega_2^2-\omega_1^2} - \frac{\left(1-r^2\right)\omega_3}{\omega_2^2-\omega_3^2}\right)\sin 2\xi,\end{aligned} \tag{A.5}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathscr{F}_3 =& F_3 + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{4} s_i \varkappa^i}{\left(\omega_3^2-\omega_1^2\right)\left(\omega_3^2-\omega_2^2\right)} + \frac{\varkappa^2\pi_\xi^2 r^2\omega_3^2\sin^2\xi}{\left(\omega_3^2-\omega_1^2\right)\left(\omega_3^2-\omega_2^2\right)} \\ &+ \varkappa^2\pi_r^2 r^2\left(1-r^2\right)\left(\frac{\cos^2\xi}{\omega_3^2-\omega_1^2} + \frac{\sin^2\xi}{\omega_3^2-\omega_2^2}\right) - \frac{\varkappa\pi_\xi\left(1-r^2\right)\left(\omega_1^2-\omega_2^2\right)\omega_3\sin 2\xi}{\left(\omega_3^2-\omega_1^2\right)\left(\omega_3^2-\omega_2^2\right)} \\ &+ 2\varkappa\pi_r r\left(1-r^2\right)\omega_3\left(\frac{\cos^2\xi}{\omega_3^2-\omega_1^2} + \frac{\sin^2\xi}{\omega_3^2-\omega_2^2}\right).\end{aligned} \tag{A.6}$$

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Riccardo Borsato for discussions, and Wellington Galleas, Jules Lamers, Arkady Tseytlin and Stijn van Tongeren for useful comments on the manuscript. G.A. acknowledges the support by the Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research (NWO) under the VICI grant 680-47-602. The work by G.A. is also a part of the ERC Advanced grant research programme No. 246974, *"Supersymmetry: a window to non-perturbative physics"* and of the D-ITP consortium, a program of the NWO that is funded by the Dutch Ministry of Education, Culture and Science (OCW). The work of D.M. was supported in part by Compañía Colombiana Automotriz S.A. and Fundación Mazda para el Arte y la Ciencia.

References

[1] J. M. Maldacena, "The large N limit of superconformal field theories and supergravity," Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **2** (1998) 231 [Int. J. Theor. Phys. **38** (1999) 1113] [arXiv:hep-th/9711200].

[2] S. Frolov and A. A. Tseytlin, "Semiclassical quantization of rotating superstring in AdS(5) $\times$ S^5," JHEP **0206** (2002) 007 [hep-th/0204226].

[3] S. Frolov and A. A. Tseytlin, "Multispin string solutions in AdS(5) x S**5," Nucl. Phys. B **668** (2003) 77 [hep-th/0304255].

[4] G. Arutyunov, S. Frolov, J. Russo and A. A. Tseytlin, "Spinning strings in AdS$_5$ $\times$ S^5 and integrable systems," Nucl. Phys. B **671** (2003) 3 [hep-th/0307191].

[5] G. Arutyunov, J. Russo and A. A. Tseytlin, "Spinning strings in AdS$_5$ $\times$ S^5: New integrable system relations," Phys. Rev. D **69** (2004) 086009 [hep-th/0311004].

[6] J. Plefka, "Spinning strings and integrable spin chains in the AdS/CFT correspondence," Living Rev. Rel. **8** (2005) 9 [hep-th/0507136].

[7] G. Arutyunov and S. Frolov, "Foundations of the AdS$_5$ $\times$ S^5 Superstring. Part I," J. Phys. A **42** (2009) 254003 [arXiv:0901.4937 [hep-th]].

[8] G. Arutyunov and M. Staudacher, "Matching higher conserved charges for strings and spins," JHEP **0403** (2004) 004 [hep-th/0310182].

[9] V. A. Kazakov, A. Marshakov, J. A. Minahan and K. Zarembo, "Classical/quantum integrability in AdS/CFT," JHEP **0405** (2004) 024 [hep-th/0402207].

[10] G. Arutyunov, S. Frolov and M. Staudacher, "Bethe ansatz for quantum strings," JHEP **0410** (2004) 016 [hep-th/0406256].

[11] C. Neumann, "De problemate quodam mechanico, quod ad primam integralium ultraellipticorum classem revocatur", J. Reine Angew. Math. **56**, (1859) 46-63.

[12] K. Uhlenbeck, "Equivariant harmonic maps into spheres", Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 949, Springer (1982)

[13] O. Babelon, D. Bernard and M. Talon, "Introduction to Classical Integrable Systems", Cambridge University Press (2003) 23-25

[14] F. Delduc, M. Magro and B. Vicedo, "An integrable deformation of the $\mathrm{AdS}_5 \times \mathrm{S}^5$ superstring action," arXiv:1309.5850 [hep-th].

[15] I. V. Cherednik, "Relativistically Invariant Quasiclassical Limits of Integrable Two-dimensional Quantum Models," Theor. Math. Phys. **47** (1981) 422 [Teor. Mat. Fiz. **47** (1981) 225].

[16] C. Klimcik, "Yang-Baxter sigma models and dS/AdS T duality," JHEP **0212** (2002) 051 [hep-th/0210095].

[17] C. Klimcik, "On integrability of the Yang-Baxter sigma-model," J. Math. Phys. **50** (2009) 043508 [arXiv:0802.3518 [hep-th]].

[18] N. Beisert, P. Koroteev, "Quantum Deformations of the One-Dimensional Hubbard Model," J. Phys. A **41** (2008) 255204.

[19] F. Delduc, M. Magro and B. Vicedo, "On classical q-deformations of integrable sigma-models," JHEP **1311** (2013) 192 [arXiv:1308.3581 [hep-th]].

[20] I. Kawaguchi, T. Matsumoto and K. Yoshida, "The classical origin of quantum affine algebra in squashed sigma models," JHEP **1204** (2012) 115 [arXiv:1201.3058 [hep-th]].

[21] I. Kawaguchi, T. Matsumoto and K. Yoshida, "On the classical equivalence of monodromy matrices in squashed sigma model," JHEP **1206** (2012) 082 [arXiv:1203.3400 [hep-th]].

[22] K. Sfetsos, "Integrable interpolations: From exact CFTs to non-Abelian T-duals," Nucl. Phys. B **880** (2014) 225 [arXiv:1312.4560 [hep-th]].

[23] T. J. Hollowood and J. L. Miramontes, "Symplectic Deformations of Integrable Field Theories and AdS/CFT," arXiv:1403.1899 [hep-th].

[24] I. Kawaguchi, T. Matsumoto and K. Yoshida, "Jordanian deformations of the $AdS_5 x S^5$ superstring," JHEP **1404** (2014) 153 [arXiv:1401.4855 [hep-th]].

[25] I. Kawaguchi, T. Matsumoto and K. Yoshida, "A Jordanian deformation of AdS space in type IIB supergravity," arXiv:1402.6147 [hep-th].

[26] T. Matsumoto and K. Yoshida, "Lunin-Maldacena backgrounds from the classical Yang-Baxter equation – Towards the gravity/CYBE correspondence," arXiv:1404.1838 [hep-th].

[27] T. Matsumoto and K. Yoshida, "Integrability of classical strings dual for noncommutative gauge theories," arXiv:1404.3657 [hep-th].

[28] T. Kameyama and K. Yoshida, "Anisotropic Landau-Lifshitz sigma models from q-deformed $AdS_5 x S^5$ superstrings," arXiv:1405.4467 [hep-th].

[29] P. M. Crichigno, T. Matsumoto and K. Yoshida, "Deformations of $T^{1,1}$ as Yang-Baxter sigma models," arXiv:1406.2249 [hep-th].

[30] G. Arutyunov, R. Borsato and S. Frolov, "S-matrix for strings on η-deformed $AdS_5 \times S^5$," arXiv:1312.3542 [hep-th].

[31] B. Hoare, T. J. Hollowood and J. L. Miramontes, "q-Deformation of the $AdS_5 \times S^5$ Superstring S-matrix and its Relativistic Limit," JHEP **1203**, 015 (2012) [arXiv:1112.4485 [hep-th]].

[32] G. Arutyunov, M. de Leeuw and S. J. van Tongeren, "The Quantum Deformed Mirror TBA I," JHEP **1210** (2012) 090 [arXiv:1208.3478 [hep-th]].

[33] G. Arutyunov, M. de Leeuw and S. J. van Tongeren, "The Quantum Deformed Mirror TBA II," JHEP **1302** (2013) 012 [arXiv:1210.8185 [hep-th]].

[34] S. J. van Tongeren, "Integrability of the $AdS_5 \times S^5$ superstring and its deformations," arXiv:1310.4854 [hep-th].

[35] G. Arutyunov, M. de Leeuw and S. J. van Tongeren, "On the exact spectrum and mirror duality of the $(AdS_5 \times S^5)_\eta$ superstring," arXiv:1403.6104 [hep-th].

[36] G. Arutyunov and S. J. van Tongeren, "The $AdS_5 \times S^5$ mirror model as a string," arXiv:1406.2304 [hep-th].

[37] J. Avan and M. Talon, "Alternative lax structures for the classical and quantum Neumann model," Phys. Lett. B **268** (1991) 209.

[38] J. Avan and M. Talon, "Poisson Structure and Integrability of the Neumann-Moser-Uhlenbeck Model," Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **5** (1990) 4477.

[39] J. Moser, "Various Aspects of Integrable Hamiltonian Systems", C.I.M.E. Summer Schools Volume 78, (2011) 137-195.

[40] M. Kruczenski, J. Russo and A. A. Tseytlin, "Spiky strings and giant magnons on S**5," JHEP **0610** (2006) 002 [hep-th/0607044].

[41] M. Khouchen and J. Kluson, "Giant Magnon on Deformed AdS(3)xS(3)," arXiv:1405.5017 [hep-th].

[42] C. Ahn and P. Bozhilov, "Finite-size giant magnons on η-deformed $AdS_5 \times S^5$," arXiv:1406.0628 [hep-th].